

The Audit Plan for Chorley Council

Year ending 31 March 2016

April 2016

Mark Heap

Director

T 0161 234 6375

E mark.r.heap@uk.gt.com

Gareth Winstanley

Manager

T 0161 234 6343


E gareth.j.winstanley@uk.gt.com

Richard Watkinson

Executive

T 0161 234 6345

E richard.watkinson@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section

Understanding your business

Developments and other requirements relevant to the audit

Our audit approach

Materiality

Significant risks identified

Other risks identified

Value for Money

Results of interim audit work

Key dates

Fees and independence

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

Appendices

A. Action plan

Chorley Council
Town Hall
Market St
Chorley
PR7 1DP

Grant Thornton UK LLP
4 Hardman Square
Spinningfields
Manchester M3 3EB

T +44 (0)161 953 6900
F +44 (0)161 953 6901
www.grant-thornton.co.uk

21st April 2016

Dear Members of the Governance Committee

Audit Plan for Chorley Council for the year ending 31 March 2016

This Audit Plan sets out for the benefit of those charged with governance (in the case of Chorley Council, the Governance Committee), an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 260. This document is to help you understand the consequences of our work, discuss issues of risk and the concept of materiality with us, and identify any areas where you may request us to undertake additional procedures. It also helps us gain a better understanding of the Council and your environment. The contents of the Plan have been discussed with management.

We are required to perform our audit in line with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and in accordance with the Code of Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO) on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General in April 2015.

Our responsibilities under the Code are to:

- give an opinion on the Council's financial statements
- satisfy ourselves the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

As auditors we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

Yours sincerely

Mark Heap
Engagement Lead

Chartered Accountants

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No. OC307742. Registered office: Grant Thornton House, Melton Street, Euston Square, London NW1 2EP. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions. Please see grant-thornton.co.uk for further details.

Understanding your business

In planning our audit we need to understand the challenges and opportunities the Council is facing. We set out a summary of our understanding below.

Challenges/opportunities

1. Autumn Statement 2015 and financial health

- The Chancellor proposed that local government would have greater control over its finances, although this was accompanied by a 24% reduction in central government funding to local government over 5 years.
- Budget efficiency savings of approximately £1m were achieved in 2014/15, with £0.707m applied to the 2015/16 budget to achieve a balanced budget position, and a further £0.214m required for 2016/17.
- The council anticipates that it will have a budget gap of £3.372m by 2018/19.



2. Devolution

- The Autumn Statement 2015 also included proposals to devolve further powers to localities.
- The Council is actively involved in the devolution debate within Lancashire, and is actively pursuing innovation including the Commission on Public Services in Chorley and the proposals for a combined Lancashire Authority.



3. Economic Growth

- The Chancellor's statement included the opportunity for Councils to retain future business rates as a key element of making them more financially self sufficient.
- Chorley is well placed to benefit from these changes with the development of Buckshaw Village and with other significant growth plans in place.



Our response

- We will consider the Council's plans for addressing its financial position as part of our work to reach our VFM conclusion.

- We will consider your plans as part of the local devolution agenda as part of our work in reaching our VFM conclusion.
- We are able to provide support and challenge to your plans based on our knowledge of devolution elsewhere in the country.

- We will consider how the Council has reflected government announcements as part of its business planning process.
- We will share our knowledge of how other Councils are responding to these changes.

Developments and other requirements relevant to your audit

In planning our audit we also consider the impact of key developments in the sector and take account of national audit requirements as set out in the Code of Audit Practice and associated guidance.

Developments and other requirements

1. Fair value accounting

- A new accounting standard on fair value (IFRS 13) has been adopted and applies for the first time in 2015/16.
- This will have a particular impact on the valuation of surplus assets within property, plant and equipment which are now required to be valued at fair value in line with IFRS 13 rather than the existing use value of the asset.
- Investment property assets are required to be carried at fair value as in previous years.
- There are a number of additional disclosure requirements of IFRS 13.



2. Corporate governance

- The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 require local authorities to produce a Narrative Statement, which reports on your financial performance and use of resources in the year, and replaces the explanatory foreword.
- You are required to produce an Annual Governance Statement (AGS) as part of your financial statements.
- SOLACE and CIPFA recently concluded a consultation on proposed changes to the guidance relating to the Framework for Delivering Good Governance in Local Government.



3. Earlier closedown of accounts

- The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 require councils to bring forward the approval and conclude the audit of financial statements to 31 May and 31 July respectively by the 2017/18 financial year.
- In 2014/15 the accounts were prepared and the audit completed in advance of the national deadlines.




Our response

- We will keep the Council informed of changes to the financial reporting requirements for 2015/16 through ongoing discussions and invitations to our technical update workshops.
- We will discuss this with you at an early stage, including reviewing the basis of valuation of your surplus assets and investment property assets to ensure they are valued on the correct basis.
- We will review your draft financial statements to ensure you have complied with the disclosure requirements of IFRS 13.

- We will review your Narrative Statement to ensure it reflects the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice when this is updated, and make recommendations for improvement.
- We will review your arrangements for producing the AGS and consider whether it is consistent with our knowledge of the Council and the requirements of the revised CIPFA guidance which is due to be published in April 2016.

- We will work with you to identify areas of your accounts production where you can learn from good practice in other authorities.
- We aim to complete all substantive work in our audit of your financial statements by 31 August 2016 as a 'dry run'.

Our audit approach



Materiality

In performing our audit, we apply the concept of materiality, following the requirements of International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) (ISA) 320: Materiality in planning and performing an audit.

The standard states that 'misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements'.

As is usual in public sector entities, we have determined materiality for the statements as a whole as a proportion of the gross revenue expenditure of the Council. For purposes of planning the audit we have determined overall materiality to be £914k (being 1.8% of gross revenue expenditure). We will consider whether this level is appropriate during the course of the audit and will advise you if we revise this.

In the previous year, we determined materiality to be £1,052k (being 2% of gross revenue expenditure). The reason for the difference being the assessment of additional risk resulting from the errors identified in 2014/15 in respect of the income from s106 agreements.

Under ISA 450, auditors also set an amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial and would not need to be accumulated or reported to those charged with governance because we would not expect that the accumulation of such amounts would have a material effect on the financial statements. "Trivial" matters are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any criteria of size, nature or circumstances. We have defined the amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial to be £50,800.

ISA 320 also requires auditors to determine separate, lower, materiality levels where there are 'particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures for which misstatements of lesser amounts than materiality for the financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users'.

We have identified the following items where separate materiality levels are appropriate. We will discuss any errors identified with a view to requesting amendment but will consider the individual significance depending on the nature of the error.

Balance/transaction/disclosure	Explanation
Disclosures of officers' remuneration, salary bandings and exit packages in notes to the statements.	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.
Disclosure of auditors' remuneration in notes to the statements.	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.
Related party disclosures.	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.

Significant risks identified

"Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty" (ISA 315). In this section we outline the significant risks of material misstatement which we have identified. There are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards (International Standards on Auditing - ISAs) which are listed below:

Significant risk	Description	Substantive audit procedures
The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions	<p>Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.</p> <p>This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p>	<p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at Chorley Council, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition • opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited • the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Chorley Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.
Management over-ride of controls	Under ISA 240 it is presumed that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.	<p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding of the process, identification of controls and a walkthrough test conducted. • Testing of journal entries up to Month 9. <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of accounting estimates, judgments and decisions made by management. • Testing of journal entries. • Review of unusual significant transactions.

Significant risks identified (continued)

Significant risk	Description	Substantive audit procedures
Valuation of property, plant and equipment	The Council revalues its assets on a rolling basis over a five year period. The Code requires that the Council ensures that the carrying value at the balance sheet date is not materially different from current value. This represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements.	<p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate. <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of the competence, expertise and objectivity of any management experts used. • Review of management's processes and assumptions for changes and additions to the asset base. • Review of the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work. • Review and challenge of the information used by the valuer to ensure it is robust and consistent with our understanding. • Testing of additions and revaluations made during the year to ensure they are input correctly into the Council's asset register. • Evaluation of the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value.
Valuation of pension fund net liability	The Council's pension fund asset and liability as reflected in its balance sheet represent significant estimates in the financial statements.	<p>Work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will identify the controls put in place by management to ensure that the pension fund liability is not materially misstated. We will also assess whether these controls were implemented as expected and whether they are sufficient to mitigate the risk of material misstatement. • We will review the competence, expertise and objectivity of the actuary who carried out your pension fund valuation. We will gain an understanding of the basis on which the valuation is carried out. • We will undertake procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made. • We will review the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in notes to the financial statements with the actuarial report from your actuary.

Other risks identified

"The auditor should evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the entity's controls, including relevant control activities, over those risks for which, in the auditor's judgment, it is not possible or practicable to reduce the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level to an acceptably low level with audit evidence obtained only from substantive procedures"(ISA (UK & Ireland) 315).

In this section we outline the other risks of material misstatement which we have identified as a result of our planning.

Other risks	Description	Audit Approach
Operating expenses	Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period (Operating expenses understated).	<p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding of the process, identification of controls and a walkthrough test conducted. • Sample testing of non-pay operating expenditure up to month 9. <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample testing of non-pay operating expenditure, manual accruals and year end creditors including review of invoices paid since the year end and goods received notes prior to year end. • Review of control account reconciliations.
Employee remuneration	Employee remuneration accrual understated (Remuneration expenses not correct).	<p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding of the process, identification of controls and a walkthrough test conducted. • Sample testing of payroll calculations and contracts of employment up to Month 9. <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample testing of payroll calculations and contracts of employment for the final three months of the year. • Analytical procedures to identify any discrepancies in monthly payrolls and consideration as to whether payroll expenditure is in line with our expectations based on supporting evidence. • Reconciliation of monthly payroll totals to the ledger.

Other risks identified (continued)

Other material balances and transactions

Under International Standards on Auditing, "irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the auditor shall design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance and disclosure". All other material balances and transaction streams will therefore be audited. However, the procedures will not be as extensive as the procedures adopted for the risks identified in the previous section but will include:

- Property, plant & equipment
- Heritage assets
- Investment property
- Cash and cash equivalents
- Borrowing and other liabilities (long term and short term)
- Usable and unusable reserves
- Movement in Reserves Statement and associated notes
- Statement of cash flows and associated notes
- Financing and investment income and expenditure
- Taxation and non-specific grants
- Segmental reporting note
- Officers' remuneration note
- Leases note
- Related party transactions note
- Capital expenditure and capital financing note
- Financial instruments note
- Collection Fund and associated notes

Other audit responsibilities

- We will undertake work to satisfy ourselves that disclosures made in the Annual Governance Statement are in line with CIPFA/SOLACE guidance and consistent with our knowledge of the Council.
- We will read the Narrative Statement and check that it is consistent with the statements on which we give an opinion and disclosures are in line with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice.
- We will carry out work on consolidation schedules for the Whole of Government Accounts process in accordance with NAO instructions to auditors.
- We will give electors the opportunity to raise questions about the accounts and consider and decide upon objections received in relation to the accounts

Value for Money

Background

The Code requires us to consider whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VfM) conclusion.

The NAO issued its guidance for auditors on value for money work in November 2015. The guidance states that for local government bodies, auditors are required to give a conclusion on whether the Council has put proper arrangements in place.

The NAO guidance identifies one single criterion for auditors to evaluate:

In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.

This is supported by three sub-criteria as set out below:

Sub-criteria	Detail
Informed decision making	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Acting in the public interest, through demonstrating and applying the principles and values of good governance.• Understanding and using appropriate cost and performance information to support informed decision making and performance management.• Reliable and timely financial reporting that supports the delivery of strategic priorities.• Managing risks effectively and maintaining a sound system of internal control.
Sustainable resource deployment	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Planning finances effectively to support the sustainable delivery of strategic priorities and maintain statutory functions.• Managing assets effectively to support the delivery of strategic priorities.• Planning, organising and developing the workforce effectively to deliver strategic priorities.
Working with partners and other third parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Working with third parties effectively to deliver strategic priorities.• Commissioning services effectively to support the delivery of strategic priorities.• Procuring supplies and services effectively to support the delivery of strategic priorities.

Value for Money (continued)

Risk assessment

We completed an initial risk assessment based on the NAO's guidance. In our initial risk assessment, we considered:

- our cumulative knowledge of the Council, including work performed in previous years in respect of the VfM conclusion and the opinion on the financial statements.
- the findings of other inspectorates and review agencies.
- any illustrative significant risks identified and communicated by the NAO in its Supporting Information.
- any other evidence which we consider necessary to conclude on your arrangements.

We have not identified any significant risks from our initial risk assessment. We will continue our review of your arrangements, including reviewing your Annual Governance Statement, before we issue our auditor's report.

Results of interim audit work

The findings of our interim audit work, and the impact of our findings on the accounts audit approach, are summarised in the table below:

	Work performed	Conclusion
Internal audit	<p>We have completed a high level review of internal audit's overall arrangements.</p> <p>We have also reviewed internal audit's reports on the Council's key financial systems to date.</p>	<p>Overall, we have concluded that the internal audit service provides an independent and satisfactory service to the Council and that internal audit work contributes to an effective internal control environment.</p> <p>Our review of internal audit's reports has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.</p>
Entity level controls	<p>We have obtained an understanding of the overall control environment relevant to the preparation of the financial statements including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • communication and enforcement of integrity and ethical values. • commitment to competence. • participation by those charged with governance. • management's philosophy and operating style. • organisational structure. • assignment of authority and responsibility. 	<p>Our work has identified no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's financial statements.</p>


Results of interim audit work (continued)

	Work performed	Conclusion
Review of information technology controls	<p>Our information systems specialist performed a high level review of the general IT control environment, as part of the overall review of the internal controls system.</p> <p>IT (information technology) controls were observed to have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding.</p>	<p>Our work has identified no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's financial statements.</p>
Walkthrough testing	<p>We have completed walkthrough tests of the Council's controls operating in areas where we consider that there is a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.</p>	<p>Our work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.</p> <p>However, our audit recommendation from previous years (raised in our audit findings reports in 2013/14 and 2014/15), in relation to the independent review of monthly bank reconciliations had not been implemented at the time of our interim visit.</p> <p>Cash is the most vulnerable asset of any organisation. Bank reconciliations provide the necessary control mechanism to help protect this valuable resource through uncovering irregularities such as unauthorised bank withdrawals. It is essential that management review the bank reconciliation process to seek assurance that the correct controls are in place and that there are no unexplained differences.</p> <p>We recommended last year that a more formal review process be re-introduced.</p> <p>We are pleased to report that since our interim visit arrangements have now been put in place to address this weakness in the control process, as reflected in the management response to the action plan on page 21.</p>

Results of interim audit work (continued)

	Work performed	Conclusion
Journal entry controls	<p>We have reviewed the Council's journal entry policies and procedures as part of determining our journal entry testing strategy.</p> <p>To date we have undertaken detailed testing on journal transactions recorded up to Month 9, by extracting 'unusual' entries for further review.</p>	<p>Our work to date has identified no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's financial statements.</p> <p>We will conduct further testing of journal entries for the remaining three months of the financial year as part of our final accounts testing.</p>
Early substantive testing	<p>Review of pro-forma financial statements</p> <p>The authority has prepared pro-forma financial statements which we have checked against the CIPFA Disclosure checklist for compliance with the required presentational issues.</p>	<p>Our work to date has identified no material disclosure omissions, but we will review the final accounts for any new developments as part of our routine year end substantive procedures.</p>

Key dates



Date	Activity
January 2016	Planning.
February/March 2016	Interim site visit.
June 2016	Presentation of audit plan to Governance Committee.
July/August 2016	Year end fieldwork.
August 2016	Audit findings clearance meeting with Head of Shared Financial Services.
September 2016	Report audit findings to Governance Committee.
September 2016	Sign financial statements opinion.

Fees and independence

Fees

	£
Council audit	45,255
Grant certification	6,798
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	52,053

Our fee assumptions include:

- Supporting schedules to all figures in the accounts are supplied by the agreed dates and in accordance with the agreed upon information request list.
- The scope of the audit, and the Council and its activities, have not changed significantly.
- The Council will make available management and accounting staff to help us locate information and to provide explanations.
- The accounts presented for audit are materially accurate, supporting working papers and evidence agree to the accounts, and all audit queries are resolved promptly.

Grant certification

- Our fees for grant certification cover only housing benefit subsidy certification, which falls under the remit of Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited.
- Fees in respect of other grant work, such as reasonable assurance reports, are shown under 'Fees for other services'.

Fees for other services

Service	£
Audit related and non-audit services	Nil

Fees for other services

Fees for other services reflect those agreed at the time of issuing our Audit Plan. Any changes will be reported in our Audit Findings Report and Annual Audit Letter.

Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Full details of all fees charged for audit and non-audit services will be included in our Audit Findings Report at the conclusion of the audit.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland) (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

This document, The Audit Plan, outlines our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while The Audit Findings Report will be issued prior to approval of the financial statements and will present key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

We will communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via a report to the Council.

Respective responsibilities

This plan has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (<http://www.psa.co.uk/appointing-auditors/terms-of-appointment/>)

We have been appointed as the Council's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England at the time of our appointment. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the NAO and includes nationally prescribed and locally determined work (<https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/about-code/>). Our work considers the Council's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issues arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	✓	✓
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓

Action plan

Priority

High - Significant effect on control system

Medium - Effect on control system

Low - Best practice

Rec No.	Recommendation	Priority	Management response	Implementation date & responsibility
1	<p>Review of the monthly bank reconciliation process</p> <p>The Council continues to have weaknesses in its processes for reviewing the monthly bank reconciliation process.</p> <p>A more formal review of the Council's bank reconciliation should be introduced, with clear evidence that a level of checking has been undertaken.</p>	Medium	<p>The monitoring of monthly Bank Reconciliations has been undertaken on an informal basis during 2015/16 which led to a weakness with regard to evidencing the completeness of this task.</p> <p>A formal review process was implemented in February 2016 and back dated to April 2015.</p> <p>Therefore all formal reviews of all Bank Reconciliations are recorded and therefore evidenced. There has been no weakness in the Bank Reconciliation itself that has been undertaken on a monthly basis with all reconciliations being accurate and correct.</p>	February 2016



© 2015 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk